Case 2:21-cv-02413-KJN Document 34 Filed 11/07/22 Page 1 of 8 1 ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 202668 Attorney General of California 2 LYNDSAY CRENSHAW, State Bar No. 246743 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 ARI J. SHEPS, State Bar No. 339595 Deputy Attorney General 4 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 5 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 6 Telephone: (619) 321-5801 Fax: (619) 645-2061 7 E-mail: Ari.Sheps@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants 8 Riley, Lynch, Frederick, and Collinsworth 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO DIVISION 11 12 13 CODY RICHARD TAYLOR, 2:21-cv-02413 KJN P 14 Plaintiff, **DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO** PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND 15 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** v. 16 LYNCH, et al., 17 Defendants. 18 19 Defendants Riley, Lynch, Frederick, and Collinsworth (Answering Defendant), answer the 20 Complaint filed by pro se Plaintiff Cody Richard Taylor (Plaintiff), entered on 12/27/2021. (Civil 21 Rights Complaint. ECF No. 1.)¹ Answering Defendants deny any material allegations that are not 22 explicitly admitted, and admit and deny the material allegations, as follows: 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ¹ All citations refer to the pagination assigned to ECF No. 1 by the CM/ECF system.

1

2

45

67

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

- .

25

26

///

///

///

27

28

I. JURISDICTION-VENUE

Answering Defendants admit that during the times alleged in the complaint, Plaintiff was housed exclusively at California State Prison-Sacramento (CSP-SAC), a facility located within the Eastern District of California. Answering Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information concerning other allegations in this section and on that basis deny these allegations. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.

II. INTRODUCTION

3. Answering Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information concerning other allegations in this section and on that basis denies these allegations. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.

III. PLAINTIFF

4. Answering Defendants admit the allegations in this section of the Complaint Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.

IV. DEFENDANTS

- 5. Admit that Lynch was employed as the Warden of CSP-SAC. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.
- 6. Admit that Riley was employed as a correctional Captain at CSP-SAC. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.
- 7. Admit that Collinsworth was employed as a correctional Sergeant at CSP-SAC. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.
- 8. Admit that Fredricks was employed as a correctional Counselor at CSP-SAC. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.
- 9. Answering Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information concerning the allegations in this section, and on that basis deny these allegations. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.

2

1	V. FACTS		
2	10. Answering Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information concerning the		
3	allegations in this section, and on that basis deny these allegations. Other material allegations not		
4	specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.		
5	11. Answering Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information concerning the		
6	allegations in this section, and on that basis deny these allegations. Other material allegations not		
7	specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.		
8	12. Answering Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information concerning the		
9	allegations in this section, and on that basis deny these allegations. Other material allegations not		
10	specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.		
11	13. Answering Defendants deny the allegations in this section.		
12	VI. LEGAL CLAIMS		
13	14. Answering Defendants admit and deny the material allegations in this section of the		
14	Complaint consistent with the above.		
15	15. Answering Defendants admit and deny the material allegations in this section of the		
16	Complaint consistent with the above.		
17	16. Answering Defendants admit and deny the material allegations in this section of the		
18	Complaint consistent with the above.		
19	17. Answering Defendants admit and deny the material allegations in this section of the		
20	Complaint consistent with the above.		
21	18. Answering Defendants deny the allegations in this section of the Complaint.		
22	VII. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES		
23	19. Answering Defendants admit that Plaintiff has filed grievances. Answering Defendants		
24	deny that those grievances exhausted Plaintiff's administrative remedies in compliance with the		
25	Prison Litigation Reform Act. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section		
26	of the Complaint are denied.		
27	///		
28	///		

1	VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	20. Answering Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief. Other material	
3	allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.	
4	21. Answering Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief. Other material	
5	allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.	
6	22. Answering Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief. Other material	
7	allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.	
8	23. Answering Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief. Other material	
9	allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.	
10	24. Answering Defendants do not oppose Plaintiff's request for "A jury trial on all issues	
11	triable by a jury." Answering Defendants also request a jury trial, should the matter proceed to	
12	trial. Other material allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are	
13	denied.	
14	25. Answering Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief. Other material	
15	allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.	
16	VERIFICATION	
17	26. Answering Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information concerning the	
18	allegations in this section of the Complaint and on that basis deny the allegations. Other material	
19	allegations not specifically admitted in this section of the Complaint are denied.	
20	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
21	First Affirmative Defense	
22	(Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies)	
23	Plaintiff's claims are barred by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), in that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his	
24	administrative remedies as to the Answering Defendants and/or claims asserted in this action.	
25	Plaintiff also failed to properly exhaust any state law claims under the Government Claims Act.	
26		
27		
28		

Case 2:21-cv-02413-KJN Document 34 Filed 11/07/22 Page 5 of 8

1	Second Affirmative Defense		
2	(Qualified Immunity)		
3	Answering Defendants are entitled to qualified immunity because there can be no violation		
4	based on the facts alleged or presented, and because Answering Defendants' conduct did not		
5	violate clearly established law of which a reasonable person would have known. At all relevant		
6	times, Answering Defendants acted within the scope of discretion, with due care, with a good-		
7	faith fulfillment of responsibilities pursuant to applicable statutes, rules, regulations, and		
8	practices, and with the good-faith belief that the actions comported with the Constitution and all		
9	applicable federal and state laws.		
10	Third Affirmative Defense		
11	(Immunity Under 11th Amendment and Other Immunities)		
12	Answering Defendants are immune from damages in their official capacity under the		
13	Eleventh Amendment, and other protected immunities.		
14	Fourth Affirmative Defense		
15	(Liability for Contributory Conduct)		
16	Plaintiff's alleged injuries or damages were the result of his own negligent or deliberate		
17	actions.		
18	Fifth Affirmative Defense		
19	(Failure to Mitigate)		
20	Plaintiff's claims for damages are barred, or should be reduced, because Plaintiff failed to		
21	exercise reasonable diligence to mitigate the nature and extent of all claims and alleged injuries.		
22	Sixth Affirmative Defense		
23	(Assumption of Risk)		
24	Plaintiff's claims for damages are barred, or should be reduced, because Plaintiff, through		
25	his actions, assumed the risk of his injuries.		
26			
27	///		
28			

	Case 2:21-cv-02413-KJN Document 34 Filed 11/07/22 Page 6 of 8			
1	Seventh Affirmative Defense			
2	(Failure to State a Claim)			
3	The Complaint fails to allege facts sufficient to state a claim for which relief can be			
4	granted.			
5	Eighth Affirmative Defense			
6	(Failed to Allege Facts Sufficient for Punitive Damages)			
7	The Complaint fails to allege facts sufficient to constitute a claim for punitive damages.			
8	Tenth Affirmative Defense			
9	(Reasonable or Exigent Circumstances)			
10	At all relevant times, Answering Defendants were performing their duties in a reasonable			
11	manner consistent with the circumstances that emerged and existed at the time. The actions of			
12	Answering Defendants were reasonable and proper based upon the circumstances or exigent			
13	circumstances that existed at the time.			
14	Eleventh Affirmative Defense			
15	(Lack of Causation)			
16	Answering Defendants were not the proximate or legal cause of Plaintiff's injury.			
17	Twelve Affirmative Defense			
18	(Respondeat Superior)			
19	Answering Defendants are not legally liable under 1983 actions for the conduct of their			
20	subordinates.			
21	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
22	Answering Defendants hereby demand a trial before a jury on all issues presented by the			
23	Complaint triable to a jury.			
24	WHEREFORE, Answering Defendants pray that:			
25	1. Judgment be rendered in favor of Answering Defendants and against Plaintiff;			
26	2. Plaintiff take nothing by the Complaint; and			
27	3. Answering Defendants be awarded costs of suit incurred and attorney's fees herein.			
28				

Case 2:21-cv-02413-KJN Document 34 Filed 11/07/22 Page 7 of 8 Dated: November 7, 2022 Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA Attorney General of California LYNDSAY CRENSHAW Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Ari J. Sheps ARI J. SHEPS Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants Riley, Lynch, Frederick, and Collinsworth AJS:kac SA2022301359 Defendant's Answer.docx

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name:	Cody Richard Taylor (AP-	No.	2:21-cv-02413 KJN P	
	2001) v. Jeff Lynch, et al.			

I hereby certify that on <u>November 7, 2022</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system.

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. On November 7, 2022, I have caused to be mailed in the Office of the Attorney General's internal mail system, the foregoing document(s) by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within three (3) calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants

Cody Richard Taylor – AP-2001 Pelican Bay State Prison P.O. Box 7500 Crescent City, CA 95531-7000

Cody Richard Taylor – AP-2001 California Medical Facility P.O. Box 2000 Vacaville, CA 95696-2000

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on November 7, 2022, at San Diego, California.

K. Cranford	K. Cranford	
Declarant	Signature	

SA2022301359 83681347.docx